Introduction:

The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists and European Fascism During World War II*

Andreas Umland and Yuliya Yurchuk

This is the third instalment in an ongoing series of thematic *JSPPS* sections dedicated to the memory and history of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) and its military arm, the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (Ukr. abbrev.: UPA).¹ We are all too aware that it is a simplification to use the terms OUN and UPA without explaining further which exact organizations are actually meant. Using these terms as shorthand may lead the informed reader to ask: when we refer to the OUN, do we mean the OUN(b), the faction led by Stepan Bandera, or the OUN(m), the faction led by Andriy Melnyk, or even the OUN(z), i.e. "za kordonom" (abroad), formed after a split within Bandera's post-war so-called "ZCh [Zakordonni chastyny] OUN" (Foreign Parts of the OUN)? Likewise, when we talk about the UPA,

_

^{*} Kai Struve, Yuri Radchenko, Tomislav Dulić, Goran Miljan, Leonid Luks, and Per Anders Rudling provided feedback on a first draft of this introduction. About a dozen historians wrote useful anonymous reviews on the below three papers. Marian Luschnat kindly translated Radchenko's article from Ukrainian into English. We are also very grateful to Julie Fedor for her extremely careful and patient final editing of the contributions to the three special sections published thus far (including this introduction). Umland's work for this special section has benefited from support by "Accommodation of Regional Diversity in Ukraine (ARDU): A research project funded by the Research Council of Norway (NORRUSS Plus Programme)." See: blogg.hioa.no/ardu/category /about-the-project/ (accessed 26 January 2020). Responsibility for any remaining imprecisions and misinterpretations here and below lies, however, solely with the respective texts' authors.

The next instalment will be published in 2021. Proposals for further special sections for the subseries "Issues in the History and Memory of the OUN" are welcome. These should take the form of a set of abstracts and notes on contributors, and can be emailed to the journal's General Editor (details at www.jspps.eu).

are we referring to the UPA directed by the OUN(b) leadership, or to the lesser known UPA led by Taras Bul'ba-Borovets'?²

Discussions of war-time nationalism often use summarily and indiscriminately the label "Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists" —as we do in the title of our subseries. There is also widespread simplified use of the construct "OUN-UPA" although there was no such fully united structure. Yet, in many commemorative practices and discourses the various organizations linked to these labels are often presented as being indistinguishable or even as one and the same. While this may be analytically dubious, it has become common practice by now. Arguably, in spite of its denotational elusiveness, this is a legitimate terminological shortcut facilitating more concise and broad communication that avoids repetition of tiresome specifications.

For similar reasons, throughout this subseries we too have employed the generic terms OUN and UPA without always indicating which exact organizations we have in mind. It should be clear though that, if not indicated otherwise, we mainly refer here to the history and memory of the OUN(b) as well as to the UPA connected to the OUN(b). The contributors to our subseries present more sophisticated and specialized discussions focused on specific organizations. The idea of this *JSPPS* subseries was, among others, precisely to discuss different organizations and to highlight the specificity of certain groups that, for the "common reader," are often lumped together under the simplified umbrella label "OUN-UPA."³

Our guest-edited English-language sections in *JSPPS* run in parallel to a multi-author Russian-language project in Germany with

On the latter, see Yuliya Yurchuk, "The Memory of Taras Bul'ba-Borovets': A Regional Perspective on the Formation of the Founding Myth of the UPA," *Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society* 3, no. 2 (2017): 219–52.

The combined name OUN-UPA is often solely linked to Soviet propaganda narratives on Ukrainian nationalism. However, the construct has, in fact, also been used by more sympathetic and anti-Soviet observers in the past and present (including in our previous thematic sections in *JSPPS*). Communication with Per Anders Rudling, 11 February 2020.

which we are also involved.⁴ This Russian collection consists of articles translated from Ukrainian, English, Polish, and German. To date it has comprised four special sections on the OUN and UPA published in issues 26–29 of the Bavaria-based web-journal *Forum for Contemporary East European History and Culture*, and includes papers by, among others, Yana Prymachenko, Olena Petrenko, Timothy D. Snyder, Yaroslav Hrytsak, John-Paul Himka, Myroslav Shkandrij, Grzegorz Motyka, Oleksandr Zaitsev, Heorhii Kas'ianov, Per Anders Rudling, and others—some of them also contributors to the present English-language series.⁵

This foreword is our third *JSPPS* preface on this topic, and provides an extensive bibliographical update. It does not repeat what was already outlined in the introductions to the previous instalments, both freely available in full online.⁶ The references provided in the two previous introductions and ten articles

⁴ "Istoriia, kul't i diskussii vokrug Organizatsii ukrainskikh natsionalistov (1-4)," Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury 13-15 (2016-2018), www1.ku-eichstaett.de/ZIMOS/forumruss.html (accessed 26 January 2020).

See also the following related journal special sections, in chronological order: "Ukraina vo Vtoroi mirovoi voine," Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury 10, no. 1(19) (2013): 285–334; Andreas Umland (ed.), "Post-Soviet Ukrainian Right-Wing Extremism," Russian Politics & Law 51, no. 5 (2013): 3–95; "Istoriia sovremennogo ukrainskogo natsionalizma," Forum noveishei vostochnoevropeiskoi istorii i kul'tury 11, no. 2(22) (2014): 133–254; Andreas Umland and Oleksandr Zaitsev (eds.), "The Ukrainian Radical Right in Past and Present: Studies in Ideology, Memory and Politics," Communist and Post-Communist Studies 48, nos. 2–3 (2015): 169–271; Frank Grelka and Yuri Radchenko (eds.), "Case Studies on Mass Atrocities and Survival in the Modern History of Ukraine," Euxeinos: Governance and Culture in the Black Sea Region 9, no. 27 (2019): 3–155; and Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe (ed.), "Conceptualizations of the Holocaust in Germany, Poland, Lithuania, Belarus, and Ukraine: Historical Research, Public Debates, and Methodological Disputes," East European Politics and Societies and Cultures 34, no. 1 (2020): 124–279.

Andreas Umland and Yuliya Yurchuk, "The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) in Post-Soviet Ukrainian Memory Politics, Public Debates, and Foreign Affairs," *Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society* 3, no. 2 (2017): 115–28, https://spps-jspps.autorenbetreuung.de/files/umland_yurc huk_3_2.pdf (accessed 16 April 2018); *idem*, "Essays in the Historical Interpretation of the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalist," *Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society* 4, no. 2 (2018): 29–34, https://spps-jspps.autorenbetreuung.de/files/yurchuk_umland_jspps_4.2_2.pdf (accessed 26 January 2020).

published in the subseries to date list most of the older relevant scholarly literature.⁷ In the footnotes for this preface, we provide details for a whole new set of additional secondary sources related, in one way or another, to the 2019 changes in Ukrainian memory politics, and to the particular sub-themes of the present special section.

The first two special sections focused on some contentious issues in the history and memory of the OUN and UPA and their comparatively informed interpretation.⁸ They tackled questions

Among recent notable publications relevant for this subseries, not mentioned in the previous two special sections, are, in chronological order: Oleksandr Hrytsenko, Prezydenty i pam'iat': Polityka pam'iati prezydentiv Ukrainy (1994-2014) - pidgruntia, poslannia, realizatsiia, rezul'taty (Kyiv: K.I.S., 2017); Vakhtang Kipiani (ed.), Viyna dvokh pravd: Poliaky ta ukraintsi u kryvavomu XX stolitti (Kharkiv: Vivat, 2017); Olena Petrenko, Unter Männern: Frauen im ukrainischen nationalistischen Untergrund 1944-1954 (Paderborn: Ferdinand Schöningh, 2018); Omer Bartov, Anatomy of a Genocide: The Life and Death of a Town Called Buczacz (New York, NY: Simon & Schuster, 2018); Olesva Khromeychuk, "Militarizing Women in the Ukrainian Nationalist Movement from the 1930s to the 1950s," Aspasia 12 (2018): 1-34; Marta Havryshko, "Love and Sex in Wartime: Controlling Women's Sexuality in the Ukrainian Nationalist Underground," Aspasia 12 (2018): 35-67; Per Anders Rudling, "'Not Ouite Klaus Barbie, but in that Category': Mykola Lebed, the CIA, and the Airbrushing of the Past," in: Norman Goda (ed.), Rethinking Holocaust Justice: Essays across Disciplines (Oxford: Berghahn Books, 2018), 158-87; Ivan-Pavlo Khymka, Ukrainsko-evreis'ki vzaemyny: Vid istorii do pam'iati (Kyiv: Dukh i Litera, 2019); Iaroslav Hrytsak, Narys istorii Ukrainy: Formuvannia modernoi natsii XIX-XX stolittia (Kyiv: Yakaboo, 2019); Marta Havryshko, "Women's Body as Battlefield: Sexual Violence during Soviet Counterinsurgency in Western Ukraine (1944-1953)," Euxeinos: Governance and Culture in the Black Sea Region 9, no. 27 (2019): 85-113; Per Anders Rudling, "Terror Remembered, Terror Forgotten: Stalinist, Nazi, and Nationalist Atrocities in Ukrainian 'National Memory'," in: Jarosław Suchoples, Stephanie James, and Barbara Törnguist-Plewa (eds.), World War II Re-explored: Some New Millennium Studies in the History of the Global Conflict (Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 2019), 401-28; and Per Anders Rudling, Tarnished Heroes: The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists in the Memory Politics of Post-Soviet Ukraine (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2020).

Per Anders Rudling, "Yushchenko's Fascist: The Bandera Cult in Ukraine and Canada"; Yaroslav Hrytsak, "Ukrainian Memory Culture Post-1991: The Case of Stepan Bandera"; Yuliya Yurchuk, "Rivne's Memory of Taras Bul'ba-Borovets': A Regional Perspective on the Formation of the Founding Myth of the UPA"; and Lukasz Adamski, "Kyiv's 'Volhynian Negationism': Reflections on the 2016 Polish-Ukrainian Memory Conflict," Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics

related to the historical, political, sociological, and ethical assessment of these organizations, and the contemporary use of their history against the background of the Russian-Ukrainian war since 2014.9 This section has similar general foci, yet deals in more detail—though, by no means, exhaustively!—with a particular subset of controversial topics in the history of the OUN and UPA, as well as issues surrounding their legacy for present day Ukraine, already touched upon by, among others, Igor Barinov in previous instalments.10 These articles provide additional observations and perspectives on both OUN(b) and OUN(m), and their complicated relationships to war-time fascism, in general, and German Nazism, in particular, as well as on the relation of the OUN's ideology to the participation of some of its members in the Holocaust.11

and Society 3, no. 2 (2017): 129-290; and: Ivan Gomza, "Catalytic Mobilization of Radical Ukrainian Nationalists in the Second Polish Republic: The Impact of Political Opportunity Structure"; Igor Barinov, "Allies or Collaborators? The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists and Nazi Germany during the Occupation of Ukraine in 1941-43"; Myroslav Shkandrij, "Volodymyr Viatrovych's Second Polish-Ukrainian War"; and John-Paul Himka, "Correspondence," *Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society* 4, no. 2 (2018): 35-132.

See also, earlier in this journal, on the same topic, the following longer review essays on Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, Stepan Bandera: The Life and Afterlife of a Ukrainian Nationalist. Fascism, Genocide, and Cult (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2014), by: Oleksandr Zaitsev, "De-Mythologizing Bandera: Towards a Scholarly History of the Ukrainian Nationalist Movement"; André Härtel, "Bandera's Tempting Shadow: The Problematic History of Ukrainian Radical Nationalism in the Wake of the Maidan"; and Yuri Radchenko, "From Starvi Uhryniv to Munich: The First Scholarly Biography of Stepan Bandera," Journal of Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society 1, no. 2 (2015): 411-58.

Barinov, "Allies or Collaborators?"

Earlier, on this issue: Taras Kurylo, "The 'Jewish Question' in the Ukrainian Nationalist Discourse of the Inter-War Period," Polin: Studies in Polish Jewry 26 (2013): 213-58; Alexander J. Motyl, "The Ukrainian Nationalist Movement and the Jews: Theoretical Reflections on Nationalism, Fascism, Rationality, Primordialism, and History," Polin: Studies in Polish Jewry 26 (2013): 275-95; Marco Carynnyk, "A Knife in the Back of Our Revolution': A Reply to Alexander J. Motyl's 'The Ukrainian Nationalist Movement and the Jews: Theoretical Reflections on Nationalism, Fascism, Rationality, Primordialism, and History'," The American Association for Polish-Jewish Studies, 2014, aapjstudies.org /manager/external/ckfinder/userfiles/files/Carynnyk%2oReply%2oto%2oMoty 1%202%20.pdf (accessed 26 July 2017); Mykhailo Martynenko, "Deiaki refleksii

Ukraine's Changing Historical-Political Landscape in 2019

Before turning to introduce the three articles, it is worth mentioning some changes in Ukrainian memory affairs that happened between late 2018, when the second part in this series was published, and early 2020, as we complete the third part. As noted in our first introduction, the Euromaidan revolution from November 2013 to became catalyst for governmental February 2014 a a institutionalization and social mainstreaming of a type of history politics that promoted a heroic and partly even hagiographic commemoration of war-time ultra-nationalism in Ukraine-in particular of the OUN and its leaders.¹² The "decommunization" laws adopted by the Ukrainian parliament in April 2015 can be seen marking turning point in Ukraine's peculiar as a Vergangenheitsbewältigung ("coping with the past").¹³ That is because one of these laws grants a special status to the OUN-UPA as "freedom fighters." It officially protects the two organizations from derogation and condemnation in the public sphere.

_

pro dyskusiiu 'Ukrainskyy natsionalizm ta evrei (1920-50 rr.)," *Ukraina Moderna*, 31 May 2015, http://uamoderna.com/blogy/martynenko/diskusiya; and Iuriy Radchenko, "Stavlennia OUN do evreiv: Diskusiia bez 'spil'nykh deklaratsii'," *Historians.in.ua*, 3 & 5 July 2016, http://www.historians.in.ua/ind ex.php/en/dyskusiya/1932-yurii-radchenko-stavlennia-oun-do-ievreiv-dyskusii a-bez-spilnykh-deklaratsii-chastyna-1 (accessed 5 February 2020), http://www.historians.in.ua/index.php/en/dyskusiya/1935-yurii-radchenko-sta vlennia-oun-do-ievreiv-dyskusiia-bez-spilnykh-deklaratsii-chastyna-2 (accessed 5 February 2020).

See also: Wilfried Jilge, "Geschichtspolitik auf dem Maidan: Politische Emanzipation und Nationale Selbstvergewisserung," Osteuropa 64, nos. 5–6 (2014): 239–58; Oxana Shevel, "The Battle for Historical Memory in Postrevolutionary Ukraine," Current History 115, no. 783 (2016): 258–63; Yuliya Yurchuk, "Reclaiming the Past, Confronting the Past: OUN-UPA Memory Politics and Nation-Building in Ukraine (1991-2016)," in: Julie Fedor, Markku Kangaspuro, Jussi Lassila and Tatiana Zhurzhenko (eds.), War and Memory in Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2017), 107–37.

[&]quot;Open Letter from Scholars and Experts on Ukraine Re. the So-Called 'Anti-Communist Law'," Krytyka, April 2015, krytyka.com/en/articles/open-letter-scholars-and-experts-ukraine-re-so-called-anti-communist-law; David R. Marples, "Decommunization, Memory Laws, and 'Builders of Ukraine in the 20th Century'," Acta Slavica Iaponica 39 (2018): 1–22.

The war in the Donbas, moreover, strengthened popular sentiments in favor of seeing the OUN(b) and its military arm UPA as exclusively liberationist organizations. In some media and official representations, the soldiers of the current Ukrainian army are compared or even equated to the fighters of the OUN and UPA. One expression of this tendency was the creation of an official "Day of the Defender of the Motherland" on 14 October—a date which is also celebrated as the "Day of Cossackdom" and the "Day of the UPA."14 A more or less "heroic" approach to the memory of the OUN-UPA was supported by most branches of Ukraine's government, during the period of 2014-2019, including then President Petro Poroshenko himself.

Since spring 2019, with the election of a new president, Volodymyr Zelens'kyy, a Russophone Ukrainian with Jewish family origins, a radical redirection of Ukrainian memory policies has been widely anticipated. By early 2020, as we complete this special section, some tentative contours of the new course are already discernible. For instance, Zelens'kyy's New Year Eve's speech, on 31 December 2019, indicated an intention to distance himself from the often explicitly nationalist approach to memory affairs practised by the previous government, as well as its promotion of some domestically and internationally controversial national heroes.¹⁵ Zelens'kyv declared his desire for Ukraine to be a country where it is "not important what the street's called, because it has street lights and it's paved," and "it's not important under which monument you rendezvous with your sweetheart."16 This indicates that we may see a shift in the official memory politics, whereby campaigns aimed at

On Ukraine's various historic holidays, see: Yuliya Yurchuk, "Global Symbols and Local Meanings: The 'Day of Victory' after Euromaidan," in: Timm Beichelt and Susann Worschech (eds.), Transnational Ukraine? Networks and Ties that Influence(d) Contemporary Ukraine (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2017), 89–111.

The tradition of broadcasting New Year Eve's addresses by state leaders was established in the Soviet Union during Leonid Brezhnev's leadership in the 1970s. This tradition continues today both in Russia and Ukraine.

[&]quot;Hlava derzhavy zaproponuvav natsional'nu ideiu," TSN, 31 December 2019, https://tsn.ua/politika/davayte-kozhen-chesno-vidpovist-na-vazhlivepitannya-hto-ya-novorichne-privitannya-prezidenta-zelenskogo-1468o5o.html (accessed 26 January 2020).

memorializing and celebrating Stepan Bandera and other nationalist "freedom fighters" may again become a regional rather than national phenomenon, as they mostly had been before 2014.¹⁷

Recent personnel changes at the governmental Ukrainian Institute of National Remembrance (Ukr. abbrev.: UINP) also give clues on what to expect in memory politics in 2020–2024.¹⁸ On 4

(accessed 5 February 2020).

See, in chronological order, for example: David R. Marples, "Stepan Bandera: The Resurrection of a Ukrainian National Hero," Europe-Asia Studies 58, no. 4 (2006): 555-66; Per Anders Rudling, "Theory and Practice: Historical Representation of the War Time Activities of the OUN-UPA (the Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists - the Ukrainian Insurgent Army)," East European Jewish Affairs 36, no. 2 (2006): 163-89; Tarik C. Amar et al. (eds.), Strasti za Banderoiu (Kyiv: Grani-T, 2007); Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, "Bandera und Nikifor - Zwei Modernen in einer Stadt: Die 'Nationalbürgerliche' und die 'Weltbürgerliche' Moderne in Lemberg," in: Lutz Henke, Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe and Philipp Ther (eds.), Eine neue Gesellschaft in einer alten Stadt: Erinnerung und Geschichtspolitik in Lemberg anhand der Oral History (Wroclaw: ATUT, 2007), 109–40; Tarik C. Amar, "Different but the Same or the Same but Different? Public Memory of the Second World War in Post-Soviet Lviv." Journal of Modern European History 9, no. 3 (2011): 374-95; Eleonora Narvselius, "The 'Bandera Debate': The Contentious Legacy of World War II and Liberalization of Collective Memory in Western Ukraine," Canadian Slavonic Papers 54, nos. 3-4 (2012): 469-90; Andre Liebich and Oksana Myshlovska, "Bandera: Memorialization and Commemoration," Nationalities Papers 42, no. 5 (2014): 750–70; and Andriy Portnov, "Bandera Mythologies and Their Traps for Ukraine," Open Democracy, 22 July 2016, https://www.opendemocracy.net /od-russia/andrii-portnov/bandera-mythologies-and-their-traps-for-ukraine

On the history of the UINP, see Georgiy Kasianov, "History, Politics, and Memory (Ukraine 1990s-2000s)," in: Małgorzata Pakier and Joanna Wawrzyniak (eds.), Memory and Change in Europe: Eastern Perspectives (New York and Oxford: Berghahn Books, 2015), 193-211; Georgii Kas'ianov, "K desiatiletiiu Ukrainskogo instituta natsional'noi pamiati (2006-2016)," Historians.in.ua, 14 January 2016, http://www.historians.in.ua/index.php/en/ dyskusiya/1755-georgij-kas-yanov-k-desyatiletiyu-ukrainskogo-instituta-natsio nal-noj-pamyati-2006-2016 (accessed 7 July 2016); Christian Hörbelt, "Eine erinnerungskulturelle Zerreißprobe: Wie das Ukrainische Institut für Nationale Erinnerung ein neues nationalukrainisches Narrativ konstruiert," Ukraine-Analysen 193 (2017): 11–15; Mikhail Minakov, "Die Umgestaltung des kollektiven Gedächtnisses: Die ideologische Funktion des Ukrainischen Instituts für Nationales Gedächtnis in 2014–2017," Porównania 24, no. 1 (2019): 31–43; Andrii Nekoliak, "Towards Liberal Memory Politics? Discussing Recent Changes at Ukraine's Memory Institute," Cultures of History Forum, 16 January 2020, https://www.cultures-of-history.uni-jena.de/politics/ukraine/towards-liberal-

December 2019, 33-year-old Anton Drobovych was appointed as the UINP's new director. Like his predecessors in this office, Drobovych is not a widely acknowledged and quoted participant of international scholarly debates within academic Ukrainian historical or memory studies. For better or worse, he does not appear in recognized scientific citation indices such as those of Scopus Elsevier or the Web of Science.

Prior to his appointment, he had worked at the National Pedagogical Drahomanov University of Kyiv and for the "Babyn Yar" Holocaust Memorial Center.¹⁹ Drobovych stated that under his leadership the UINP would "continue a search for heroic personalities but with an emphasis on personalities who will unite Ukrainian society and who will finally help us to come to terms with who these personalities are."20 As examples of such personalities, Drobovych named avant-garde artist Kazimir Malevich as well as famous football player and coach Valeryy Lobanovskyi.

At the same time, Drobovych stated that the UINP would continue to work on decommunization and on such topics as the Holodomor, the Holocaust, and the Chornobyl catastrophe, and to fight against historical myths. He promised to pay special attention to cooperation with neighboring countries, and establishing common ground with them—a thinly veiled reference, above all, to the deeply spoiled relations with Poland.²¹ In the event, however, in winter 2019-2020, the UINP published "memorial" posts on its Facebook page related not only to Lobanovskiy or to the dissident poet Vasyl' Stus, i.e. two uncontroversial if very different figures, but also to Stepan Bandera. This could indicate a trend towards

memory-politics-discussing-recent-changes-at-ukraines-memory-institute/ (accessed 5 February 2020).

Maksym Vikhrov, "Pislia V'iatrovycha," Tyzhden'.ua, 12 December 2019, https://tyzhden.ua/Politics/238 622 (accessed 5 February 2020).

[&]quot;UINP zminyt' pidkhid do heroiizatsii istorychnykh postatei Ukraiiny," *Novynarnia*, 12 December 2019, https://novynarnia.com/2019/12/12/uinp-zm init-pidhid-do-geroyizatsiyi-istorichnih-postatey-ukrayini/ (accessed January 2020).

Ibid.; and Adamski, "Kyiv's 'Volhynian Negationism'."

continuity rather than rupture in future Ukrainian official memory affairs.

Overall, Zelens'kyy's history policies do not seem to be moving back to approaches once promoted under pro-Russian President Viktor Yanukovych and his formerly communist UINP director Valeriy Soldatenko, during 2010–2014. Instead, Ukraine's new leadership apparently wants to preserve some earlier established fundamentals while distancing itself from some particularly controversial issues in World War II history that were prominent in Kyiv's official commemoration line under President Poroshenko. That goes especially for the memory politics of the 2014–2019 UINP director Volodymyr V'iatrovych, and his undisguisedly hagiographic approach to the ideas, leaders, and record of the war-time OUN(b).

V'iatrovych's apologetic position on the memory of war-time Ukrainian ultra-nationalism should not come as a surprise, given that his main non-governmental affiliation is the Center for the Study of the Liberation Movement in L'viv. In the words of a contemporary OUN(b) representative:

The Organization [of Ukrainian Nationalists] is today a global (world-wide) closed structure, and much of the [work] done remains unannounced [...]. At the same time, a large segment of [its] activity is known thanks to various façade structures [zavdiaki riznym fasadnym strukturam] founded by the OUN: from political [...] to academic [ones, like] the "Center for the Study of the Liberation Movement" [...].²²

The Ukrainian new leadership's emphases are, perhaps, not only and not so much related to any particular ideological preferences, or to substantive political differences with the early

Sviatoslav Lypovets'kyi, Orhanizatsiia ukrainskikh natsionalistiv (banderivtsi): fragmenty diial'nosti ta borot'by (Kyiv: Ukrains'ka Vydavnycha Spil'ka, 2010), 84. As quoted in: Rudling, "Yushchenko's Fascist," 159. It is worrisome, Rudling also notes (ibid.), that as manifestly a partisan organization as the Center for the Study of the Liberation Movement has been given control over the National Memory Policy Experts Group within Ukraine's major and otherwise reputable NGO umbrella organization "Reanimation Package of Reforms." See: http://rpr.org.ua/en/groups-rpr/17policy-of-national-memory/ (accessed 16 April 2018).

post-Euromaidan leadership. Rather, they are motivated by a desire to reverse some of the ramifications that the 2014–2019 activities of the UINP and its director had for Ukrainian domestic as well as foreign affairs.²³ The damage caused was especially visible with regard to the frustrating deterioration of Kyiv's relations with Warsaw over diverging interpretations of the Ukrainian massacre of Poles in Volhynia and Eastern Galicia in 1943–44.²⁴

V'iatrovych's partisan writings and public activities had stirred controversy already before he assumed directorship of the UINP.²⁵ During his term as UINP head, they became the subject of a

23 To be sure, V'iatrovych was and is by far not the only prolific apologetic writer on the OUN. See, for instance, recently: Olesia Isaiuk, Roman Shukhevych (Kharkiv: Klub simeinoho dozvillia, 2015); Mykola Posivnych, Stepan Bandera (Kharkiv: Klub simeinoho dozvillia, 2015); Vakhtang Kipiani (ed.), "Zrodylys' my velykoi hodyny...:" OUN i UPA (Kharkiv: Vivat, 2016); Petro Kononenko, Ukraintsi u svitoviy tsyvylizatsii i kul'tury: Istorychnyy fenomen Stepana Bandery (Ternopil': Dzhura, 2016); Oleh Stetsyshyn, Banderivs'kyy internatsional: Gruziny, rosiiany, evrei... (L'viv: Chasopys, 2015); and Maksym Maiorov, Volodymyr V'iatrovych, and Oleksandr Zinchenko (eds.), Viyna i mif: Nevidoma Druha svitova (Kharkiv: Klub simeinoho dozvillia, 2016). Apart from the enormous book production by nationalist apologetic publicists, there is now also a smaller para-academic ultra-nationalist literature collected by the rightradical party All-Ukrainian Union "Svoboda" (Freedom). See, for example: Tetiana Boiko, Bohdan Halaiko, and Iurii Syrotiuk (eds.), Treti Banderivs'ki chytannia: Viziia Ukrains'koi derzhavy v ideolohii ukrains'koho natsionalizmu (Kyiv - Ivano-Frankivs'k: Misto NV, 2016); idem (eds.), Misiia Ukrainy ta natsional'ni interesy v hlobalizovanomu sviti: Viziia natsionalistiv. Zbirnyk materialiv P'iatykh Banderivs'kykh chytan' (Kyiv – L'viv: USSD/Astrolibiia, 2018). Adamski, "Kyiv's 'Volhynian Negationism'." For some recent Ukrainian media discussion of Ukraine's relations to Poland in light of Kyiv's and Warsaw's adversarial official remembrance of the UPA, see: Olena Betliy, "Rozminuvaty' mynule: Iakoiu mae buty 'pol's'ka polityka' Ukrainy," Dzerkalo tyzhnia, 5 April 2019, https://dt.ua/international/rozminuvati-minule-307772_.html; Volodymyr Kravchenko, "Zustrich na Visli: Ukrains'skyy i pol's'kyy prezydenty obhovoryly istoriiu i suchasnist'," Dzerkalo tyzhnia, 6 September 2019, https://dt.ua

ua/international/polskiy-test-dlya-zelenskogo-341496_.html.

/international/zustrich-na-visli-322839_.html; Al'ona Get'manchuk, "lak Ukraina povertae Pol'shu," *Dzerkalo tyzhnia*, 22 November 2019, https://dt.ua/international/yak-ukrayina-povertaye-polschu-330618_.html; and Aleksandr Shevchenko, "Pol'skii test dlia Zelenskogo: Kiev i Varshava snova razoshlis' v otsenkakh lichnostei v istorii," *Zerkalo nedeli*, 9 January 2020, https://zn.

Sofia Grachova, "Introduction to the Forum;" Per Anders Rudling, "Warfare or War Criminality?" Igor' Iliushin, "Plokho zabytoe staroe: o novoi knige

growing body of media commentary, public protests, and academic debate, by both Western and Ukrainian observers.²⁶ In particular, V'iatrovych's frequent public praise for the famous OUN(b) leader, UPA commander, and "Schutzmann" Roman Shukhevych triggered

Vladimira Viatrovicha;" Gzhegozh [Gzegorz] Motyka, "Neudachnaia kniga;" Andzhei [Andrzej] Zemba, "Mifologizirovannaia voina;" Vladimir Viatrovich [Volodymyr Viatrovych], "Vtoraia pol'sko-ukrainskaia voina i diskussii vokrug nee," *Ab Imperio* 12, no. 1 (2012): 351–433; and Eleonora Narvselius, "Tragic Past, Agreeable Heritage: Post-Soviet Intellectual Discussions on the Polish Legacy in Western Ukraine," *The Carl Beck Papers in Russian and Eastern European Studies* 2403 (2015).

In chronological order: Christopher Gilley and Per Anders Rudling, "The History Wars in Ukraine Are Heating Up," History New Network, 9 May 2015, http://historynewsnetwork.org/article/159301 (accessed 10 February 2020); Andreas Umland, "Sylvester Stallone as a Boxer," Historians.in.ua, 24 May 2015, http://www.historians.in.ua/index.php/en/avtorska-kolonka/1520-andreas-um land-sylvester-stallone-as-a-boxer-a-comment-on-the-infamous-ukrainian-dec ommunization-laws-and-professional-expertise-in-ukrainian-public-affairs%2 o (accessed 10 February 2020); Jared McBride, "How Ukraine's New Memory Commissar Is Controlling the Nation's Past," The Nation, 13 August 2015, www.thenation.com/article/archive/how-ukraines-new-memory-commissar-i s-controlling-the-nations-past/ (accessed 10 February 2020); Josh Cohen, "The Historian Whitewashing Ukraine's Past," Foreign Policy, 2 May 2016, foreignpolicy.com/2016/05/02/the-historian-whitewashing-ukraines-past-volo dymyr-viatrovych/ (accessed 10 February 2020); Tarik Cyril Amar, "The Radical Historian Rewriting Ukraine's Past," WYNC Studios, 20 May 2016, www.wnycstudios.org/podcasts/otm/segments/radical-historian-rewritingukraines-past (accessed 10 February 2020); Per Rudling, Jared McBride and Tarik Amar, "Ukraine's Struggle with the Past Is Ours Too," Open Democracy, 15 June 2016, www.opendemocracy.net/en/odr/ukraine-s-struggle-with-past-isours-too/ (accessed 10 February 2020); Alexander J. Motyl, "National Memory in Ukraine: What the West Gets Wrong About Liberals and Nationalists," Foreign Affairs (online edition), 4 August 2016, www.foreignaffairs.com/article/ ukraine/2016-08-04/national-memory-ukraine (accessed 10 February 2020); Andreas Umland, "Bad History Doesn't Make Friends," Foreign Policy, 25 October 2016, http://foreignpolicy.com/2016/10/25/bad-history-doesnt-makefriends-kiev-ukraine-stepan-bandera/ (accessed 10 February 2020); "Historians Sign Petition Objecting to the Inclusion of a Nationalist Who Praised a Nazi Collaborator," History News Network, 8 March 2017, historynewsnetwork.org /article/165383; Jared McBride, "Ukraine's Invented a 'Jewish-Ukrainian Nationalist' to Whitewash Its Nazi-era Past," Haaretz, 9 November 2017, www.haaretz.com/opinion/ukraine-nationalists-are-using-a-jew-to-whitewash -their-nazi-era-past-1.5464194 (accessed 10 February 2020); and Shkandrij, "Volodymyr V'iatrovych's Second Polish-Ukrainian War."

repeated bouts of irritation in Poland.²⁷ While Ukraine's post-2019 memory policies may still continue evolving along the general lines of decommunization, they will now seemingly depart from V'iatrovych's provocative approach. This could mean that Kyiv will, at least until the next regular presidential elections in 2024, focus on topics less controversial both inside Ukraine and in its relations with neighboring countries as well as with further relevant partners, such as Berlin and Tel Aviv.28

Three Interpretative Contexts for OUN War-Time Behavior

In this special section, we have collected three research papers written by four historians from, in this order, Germany, Ukraine, and Sweden. The first two articles tackle different factions and aspects of the OUN, while the third article considers the OUN only indirectly, by way of comparison to the Croatian Ustaša case. All three articles contribute to an older and broader discussion over how to locate the OUN within the contexts of interwar and World War II world history, on the one hand, and contemporary East European history, on the other.29 Namely, they touch upon the

²⁷ For the historic background, see: Per Anders Rudling, "The Cult of Roman Shukhevych in Ukraine: Myth Making with Complications," Fascism: Journal of Comparative Fascist Studies 5, no. 1 (2016): 26-65.

On the repercussions of post-Euromaidan memory policies for Ukraine's relations to Israel, see: Jakub Bornio, "Israeli-Ukrainian Relations after 'the Euromaidan Revolution': The Holocaust and the New Ukrainian Identity in the Context of the European Aspirations of Ukraine," Polish Political Science Yearbook 47, no. 2 (2018): 331-45.

Constantin Iordachi, "Fascism in Interwar East Central and Southeastern Europe: Toward a New Transnational Research Agenda," East-Central Europe 37, nos. 2-3 (2010): 161-213; Andreas Umland, "Challenges and Promises of Comparative Research into Post-Soviet Fascism: Methodological and Conceptual Issues in the Study of the Contemporary East European Extreme Right," Communist and Post-Communist Studies 48, nos. 2-3 (2015): 169-81; Arnd Bauerkämper and Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe (eds.), Fascism without Borders: Transnational Connections and Cooperation between Movements and Regimes in Europe from 1918 to 1945 (New York, NY: Berghahn Books, 2017); Rebecca Haynes and Martyn Rady (eds.), In the Shadow of Hitler: Personalities of the Right in Central and Eastern Europe (London: I.B. Tauris, 2011); and Solomiia Shvab, "Skhidnoevropeis'kyy kontekst ukrains'koho integral'noho

debate over whether the OUN's two factions can or even should, at least with regard to their record during World War II, be labeled as "fascist" or not.³⁰ The articles presented here set out to make historically grounded and comparatively informed contributions to this debate.

natsionalizmu," Naukovyy visnyk Chernivetskoho universitetu: Istoriia, no. 1 (2016): 95–101.

Whereas most Soviet and post-Soviet Russian statements on this topic are unhelpful (to say the least), the following post-Soviet Ukrainian and Western contributions are, in chronological order of their appearance, worth mentioning: Kost Bondarenko, "Fashyzm v Ukraini: Do istorii problemy," Ukrains'ki varianty 2 (1997): 77–78; Oleksandr Zaitsev, "Fashyzm i ukrains'skyy natsionalizm (1920-30-ti rr.)," Nezalezhnyy kul'turolohichnyy chasopys "Ï" 16 (2000), http://www.ji.lviv.ua/n16texts/zajtsev.htm (accessed 10 February 2020); Anton Shekhovtsov, "By Cross and Sword: 'Clerical Fascism' in Interwar Western Ukraine," Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions 8, no. 2 (2007): 271-85; Heorhiy Kas'ianov, Do pytannia pro ideolohiiu Orhanizatsii ukrains'kykh natsionalistiv (OUN): Analitychnyy ohliad (Kyiv: Instytut istorii Ukrainy, 2003); Timothy Snyder, "A Fascist Hero in Democratic Kiev," The New York Review of Books, 24 February 2010, www.nybooks.com/daily/2010/02/24/afascist-hero-in-democratic-kiev/ (accessed 10 February 2020); Alexander J. Motyl, "Ukraine, Europe, and Bandera," Cicero Foundation Great Debate Paper, no. 5 (2010); Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, "The 'Ukrainian National Revolution' of 1941: Discourse and Practice of a Fascist Movement," Kritika: Exploration in Russian and Eurasian History 12, no. 1 (2011): 83-114; Oleksandr Zaitsev, "Ukrains'kyy natsionalizm ta italiys'kyy fashyzm (1922-1939)," Ukraina Moderna, 3 January 2012, uamoderna.com/md/98-zaitsev; Taras Kurylo, "Skandal iz Rossolins'kym-Libe [Rossoliński-Liebe] ta stan ukrainskoi istorii," Istorychna Pravda, 4 March 2012, www.istpravda.com.ua/columns/2012/ 03/4/75432/; Oleksandr Zaitsev, "Chy isnuvav ukrainskyy natsional'novyzvol'nyy fashyzm?" Zaxid.net, 12 March 2012, https://zaxid.net/chi_isnuvav _ukrayinskiy_natsionalnovizvolniy_fashizm_n1249957 (accessed 10 February 2020); Anton Shekhovtsov, "K voprosu ob ukrainskom natsional'noosvoboditel'nom fashizme (kratkie razmyshleniia nad stat'ei Aleksandra March Zaitseva)," Anton Shekhovtsov's Blog, 14 2012. shekhovtsov.blogspot.com/2012/03/blog-post_14.html; Taras Kurylo, "Shche raz pro OUN ta fashyzm," Zaxid.net, 15 March 2012, zaxid.net/home/show SingleNews.do?shhe_raz_pro_oun_ta_fashizm&objectId=1250264; Oleksandr Zaitsev, "OUN i fashyzm: sim tez do dyskusii," Zaxid.net, 30 March 2012, zaxid.net/home/showSingleNews.do?oun_i_fashizm_sim_tez_do_diskusiyi&o bjectId=1251429; and Tomasz Stryjek, "Fashyzm chy integral'nyi natsionalizm? OUN u suchasnykh publichnykh dyskusiiakh i v istoriografichniy ta politologichniy perspektyvi," *Ukraïna Moderna* 20 (2014): 123–50.

The first two papers deal with empirical aspects of the behavior of the OUN's Bandera and Melnyk factions during World War II.³¹ In particular, they highlight the degree, depth, length, and shifting nature of their collaboration with the Nazis.³² They are especially revealing concerning the complicated issue of the degree to which some OUN members' active participation in the Holocaust was related to German inspiration or rather to indigenous Ukrainian anti-Semitism.³³ The third article does not directly deal with the

For a related debate, see: Per Anders Rudling, ""They Defended Ukraine': The 14. Waffen-Grenadier-Division der SS (Galizische Nr. 1) Revisited," The Journal of Slavic Military Studies 25, no. 3 (2012): 329–68; Olesya Khromeychuk, "Undetermined" Ukrainians: Post-War Narratives of the Waffen SS "Galicia" Division (Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 2013); Myroslav Shkandrij, "The Ukrainian 'Galicia' Division: From Familiar to Unexplored Avenues of Research," Kyiv-Mohyla Humanities Journal 6 (2019): 1–23. For an apologetic volume, see: Mykhailo Slaboshpyts'kyy (ed.), Ukrains'ka dyviziia "Halychyna:" Istoryko-publitsistychnyy zbirnyk, 3rd ed. (Kyiv: Iaroslaviy Val, 2008).

Earlier, on this: John A. Armstrong, "Collaborationism in World War II: The Integral Nationalist Variant in Eastern Europe," Journal of Modern History 40, no. 3 (1968): 396-410: Gabriel N. Finder and Alexander V. Prusin, "Collaboration in Eastern Galicia: The Ukrainian Police and the Holocaust," East European Jewish Affairs 34, no. 2 (2004): 95-118; Frank Golczewski, "Die Kollaboration in der Ukraine," in: Christoph Dieckmann et al. (eds.), Kooperation und Verbrechen: Formen der "Kollaboration" im östlichen Europa 1939–1945 (Göttingen: Wallstein, 2003), 151-83; Per Anders Rudling, "Terror and Local Collaboration in Occupied Belarus: The Case of Schutzmannschaft Battalion 118," Romanian Academy "Nicolae Iorga" History Institute Historical Yearbook 13 & 14 (2011 & 2012): 195–214 & 99–121; Frank Grelka, "Politics and Military Actions of Ethnic-Ukrainian Collaboration for the 'New European Order'," in: Marina Cattaruzza and Dieter Langewiesche (eds.), Revisionist Politics in Europe, 1938-1945 (New York, NY: Berghahn, 2013), 126-41; Olesya Khromeychuk, "Ukrainians in the German Armed Forces During the Second World War," History: The Journal of the Historical Association 100, no. 343 (2016): 704-24; Mykola Borovyk, "Collaboration and Collaborators in Ukraine During the Second World War: Between Myth and Memory," in: Gelinada Grynchenko and Eleanora Narvselius (eds.), Traitors, Collaborators and Deserters in Contemporary European Politics of Memory: Formulas of Betrayal (Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2018), 285-308.

Vladimir Melamed, "Organized and Unsolicited Collaboration in the Holocaust," East European Jewish Affairs 37, no. 2 (2007): 217–48; John-Paul Himka, "Debates in Ukraine over Nationalist Involvement in the Holocaust, 2004-2008," Nationalities Papers 39, no. 3 (2011): 353–70; Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, "Holocaust Amnesia: The Ukrainian Diaspora and the Genocide of the Jews," German Yearbook of Contemporary History 1 (2016): 107–42; Ivan

OUN itself, but provides an extensive discussion of issues of interpretation and conceptualization of the main war-time ultranationalist organization that is comparable to the OUN—the Ustaša.

Kai Struve (University of Halle-Wittenberg), in his article, summarizes the findings of his, by now, widely recognized, if not already seminal monograph German Rule, Ukrainian Nationalism, Anti-Jewish Violence published in 2015.34 The particular novelty and value of this German book and of the below English article, summarizing its findings on the role of the OUN in anti-Jewish violence, is that Struve not only meticulously details, but also carefully differentiates between various types, contexts, and motivations of anti-Jewish violence and its perpetrators in Eastern Galicia in summer 1941.35 His findings show, above all, that the Holocaust can be seen to have started already from the first days of the German-Soviet war in 1941, in so far as numerous Ukrainian Jews were immediately executed, on the spot, by various units of the German police, most importantly Einsatzgruppe C, and in some localities also fell victim to extremely violent attacks by units of the Waffen-SS.

Yet, anti-Semitic crimes were also early on—even if to relatively smaller degree—committed by Germany's various allies, including a number of irregular armed units of the OUN(b), as part of their local seizure of power. Struve's observation appears as an addition to, or even correction of, a competing thesis that the

Katchanovski, "The OUN, the UPA, and the Nazi Genocide in Ukraine," in: Peter Black, Béla Rásky and Marianne Windsperger (eds.), *Mittäterschaft in Osteuropa im Zweiten Weltkrieg und im Holocaust / Collaboration in Eastern Europe during World War II and the Holocaust* (Wien: New Academic Press, 2019), 67–93; and Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, "Survivor Testimonies and the Coming to Terms with the Holocaust in Volhynia and Eastern Galicia: The Case of the Ukrainian Nationalists," *East European Politics and Societies and Cultures* 34, no. 1 (2020): 221–40.

Kai Struve, Deutsche Herrschaft, ukrainischer Nationalismus, antijüdische Gewalt: Der Sommer 1941 in der Westukraine (München: DeGruyter-Oldenbourg, 2015).

Per Anders Rudling, "Dispersing the Fog: The OUN and Anti-Jewish Violence in 1941," *Yad Vashem Studies* 44, no. 2 (2016): 227–45.

rapidly growing local anti-Semitic violence in former Eastern Poland during that period represented a massive outburst of popular hatred against Jews emerging from among the region's general population—whether Polish, Ukrainian, or other. In contrast, his evidence rather seems to suggest that such crimes were also the results of organized and not only spontaneous East European ultranationalism 36

Struve's intriguing findings also illustrate that the partnership between the Germans and the OUN(b) was, already from the start of the war in summer 1941, more ambivalent and unstable than suggested in the simplified image painted by Soviet and post-Soviet anti-nationalist propaganda about Ukrainian collaboration with the Nazis. Initially there was indeed far-reaching cooperation between the Wehrmacht, and OUN(b), during summer 1941. The alliance, however, quickly became dubious when the Germans and OUN(b) leadership came into manifest political conflict about the future of the occupied Ukrainian lands, less than two weeks after the invasion of the Soviet Union.

Nevertheless, even after the Germans' arrest of some prominent OUN(b) leaders in early July 1941, most of the Organization's irregular armed groups continued their activities on the territory of Soviet Ukraine under Nazi rule. A whole number of OUN(b) members committed grave crimes against Jews and sometimes murdered them collectively—even though, one cannot repeat enough, on a relatively far smaller scale than did the German Einsatzgruppe C, via mass executions, and Waffen-SS, in more spontaneous actions, during the same time period and in the same geographic area (East Europeans were not yet, on a larger scale, part of the German police or Waffen SS then).³⁷ In doing so, the OUN(b)

Wendy Lower, "Pogroms, Mob Violence and Genocide in Western Ukraine, Summer 1941: Varied Histories, Explanations and Comparisons," Journal of Genocide Research 13, no. 3 (2011): 217-46; Raz Segal, Genocide in the Carpathians: War, Social Breakdown, and Mass Violence, 1914-1945 (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2016); and Jeffrey S. Kopstein and Jason Wittenberg, Intimate Violence: Anti-Jewish Pogroms on the Eve of the Holocaust (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2018).

See earlier also: Kai Struve, "Rites of Violence? The Pogroms of Summer 1941," Polin: Studies in Polish Jewry 24 (2011): 257-74.

militias partially acted, according to Struve, independently.³⁸ That means that, on various occasions, they were not following explicit German orders or guidelines.³⁹

Recently, Ukrainian historian Andriy Usach has confirmed Struve's earlier observation in two intriguing local case studies:

Even after the start of the [OUN(b)'] conflict with the Nazi occupation administration, [the Ukrainian nationalists] managed to legally operate for some time in rural areas far away from big cities. The fact that in parallel they continued to persecute local Jews implies they were also implementing their own policies not related to the Holocaust policy or trying to win some trust from the Germans to this end. Moreover, none of the available testimonies have any records on the participation or presence of the Nazi occupation administration during mass killings in Smotrych and Kupyn. That is why the events also should be considered in the context of the planned violence against ethnic minorities OUN(b) contrived back in spring 1941.⁴⁰

This indicates that, among other factors, indigenous Ukrainian anti-Semitism and, above all, the conspirological mythology of "Judeo-Bolshevism," along with active German instigation and compulsion, may have played a considerable role in shaping and driving the OUN(b) militias' early murderous behaviour.⁴¹ (These findings indicate certain parallels with the anti-

⁻

⁸⁸ Kai Struve, "Anti-Jewish Violence in Summer 1941 in Eastern Galicia and Beyond," in: Simon Geissbühler (ed.), Romania and the Holocaust: New Research – Public Discourse – Remembrance (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2016), 89–113.

³⁹ See also: Kai Struve, "Tremors in the Shatter-Zone of Empires: Eastern Galicia in Summer 1941," in: Omer Bartov and Eric Weitz (ed.), Borderlands: Ethnicity, Identity and Violence in the Shatter-Zone of Empires (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press 2013), 463–84. There is a separate and, by now, large body of scholarly literature devoted specifically to the L'viv pogroms of summer 1941 which is not listed here.

⁴⁰ Andriy Usach, "The 'Eastern Action' of the OUN(b) and the Anti-Jewish Violence in the Summer of 1941: The Cases of Smotrych and Kupyn," *Euxeinos: Governance and Culture in the Black Sea Region* 9, no. 27 (2019): 63–84, here: 80 (grammar left in the original form).

On various aspects of this issue, in chronological order: Karel C. Berkhoff and Marco Carynnyk, "The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists and Its Attitude toward Germans and Jews: Iaroslav Stets'ko's 1941 Zhyttiepys," Harvard Ukrainian Studies 23, nos. 3-4 (1999): 149-84; Leonid Luks, "Vergeblicher

Semitic motivations and murderous behavior of some Poles towards local Jews in the infamous Jedwabne case.⁴²) This, moreover, happened—albeit on only a few occasions at this point—already during the first weeks of the German-Soviet war. These local crimes thus occurred before the massive "schooling in murder" (Per Anders Rudling) that the various German military, para-military, and auxiliary units undertook with their local collaborators throughout 1941-44.43

Struve deals with developments in Eastern Galicia and with the Bandera faction of the OUN in summer 1941. The events recorded in our special section's second research article, in contrast, mainly concern the OUN's Melnyk faction. For the most part they happen later, and, to large extent, in Eastern and Central Ukraine as well as in Volhynia. In his article, Yuri Radchenko (Center for

Versuch, den Holocaust 'rational' zu erklären," Forum für osteuropäische Ideenund Zeitgeschichte 8, no. 1 (2004): 301-09; Marco Carynnyk, "Foes of Our Rebirth: Ukrainian Nationalist Discussions about Jews, 1929-1947," Nationalities Papers 39, no. 3 (2011): 315-52; Kurylo, "The 'Jewish Question' in the Ukrainian Nationalist Discourse;" Grzegorz Rossoliński-Liebe, "The Fascist Kernel of Ukrainian Genocidal Nationalism," The Carl Beck Papers in Russian and Eastern European Studies 2402 (2015); and Paul Hanebrink, A Specter Haunting Europe: The Myth of Judeo-Bolshevism (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2018). For some additional clues on the ideational background of such aggressive behavior, see: Per Anders Rudling, "Eugenics and Racial Anthropology in the Ukrainian Radical Nationalist Tradition," Science in Context 32 (2019): 67-91.

We are grateful to Leonid Luks for alerting us to this comparative reference.

Per Anders Rudling, "Szkolenie w mordowaniu: Schutzmannschaft Battalion 201 i Hauptmann Roman Szuchewycz na Białorusi 1942 roku," in: Bogusław Paź (ed.), Prawda historyczna a prawda polityczna w badaniach naukowych: Przykład ludobójstwa na kresach południowo-wschodniej Polski w latach 1939-1946 (Wrocław: Wydawnictwo uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego, 2011), 191-212; Yuri Radchenko, "'We fired all cartridges at them': Ukrainische Hilfspolizei and the Holocaust on the Territory of the Generalbezirk Kharkiv, 1941-1943," Yad Vashem Studies 41, no. 1 (2013): 63-98; John-Paul Himka, "Former Ukrainian Policemen in the Ukrainian National Insurgency: Continuing the Holocaust outside German Service," in: Wendy Lower and Lauren Faulkner Rossi (eds.), Lessons and Legacies XII: New Directions in Holocaust Research and Education (Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press, 2017), 141-63; and Per Anders Rudling, "Rehearsal for Volhynia: Schutzmannschaft Battalion 201 and Hauptmann Roman Shukhevych in Occupied Belorussia, 1942," East European Politics and Societies and Cultures 34, no. 1 (2020): 158–93.

Interethnic Relations Research in Eastern Europe, Kharkiv) provides a fascinating biographical account of the surprising turns in the wartime fate of Oleksa Babii, a prominent member of the OUN(m). Radchenko presents evidence indicating that Babii—much like many OUN(b) activists—both collaborated with the Nazis and participated in the Holocaust *and* was also persecuted and nearly killed by the Germans. Babii was apparently also involved in crimes against the Polish population in Volhynia, and in the arrest of activists of the Melnyk faction's rival OUN(b).

With the latter detail, Radchenko illustrates that Ukrainian nationalists applied targeted violence not only against various ethnically non-Ukrainian groups perceived to be enemies of the "Ukrainian cause," as understood by these ultra-nationalists. Both factions of the OUN also murdered ethnic Ukrainians who did not share their ideology, and even sometimes committed crimes against other ultra-nationalists who did not commit to their particular subtype of the OUN's common far right agenda. While crimes by OUN members against Jews and Poles have, over the past twenty years, become better documented than they used to be, their transgressions against unarmed fellow Ukrainians and conationalists remain topics still understudied by scholars. Oddly, this critique also applies to many writings by *Ukrainian* historians on World War II.

While having largely similar ideologies, the approaches of the OUN's Bandera and Melnyk factions vis-à-vis the Nazi occupants were, already before Germany's invasion of the USSR, rather distinct from each other, as has been emphasized by, among others, one of Ukraine's major academic apologists for the OUN(b): Ivan Patryliak, Dean of the History Faculty of the National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv.⁴⁴ Struve's and Radchenko's papers, in contrast, indicate that the political parallels between the two factions were not limited to their basic common ideas. Instead, one can also detect similarities in the bizarre ambivalence of their interactions with the German occupation powers as well as concerning some of their

⁴⁴ Ivan Patryliak, "Peremoha abo smert": Ukrains'kyy vyzvol'nyy rukh u 1939-1960 rr. (L'viv: Chasopys, 2012), 69–73.

2.01

members' ideologically driven persecution of Jews—and, perhaps, with regard to other aspects not explored in more detail here.

The section's concluding article by Tomislav Dulić and Goran Miljan (Uppasla University) discusses the *Ustaša—Hrvatska* Revolucionarna Organizacija (Croatian Revolutionary Organization, UHRO).45 It is, above all, a case study on how the notion of "abolitionism" may be utilized in comparative fascist studies. While they thus do not directly deal with Ukrainian war-time ultranationalism, Dulić and Miljan touch, in their theoretical considerations, upon many critical issues in the interpretation and classification of the OUN. The two experts on Croatian fascism sophisticated with empirical-conceptual respond. their deliberations, to an argument put forward by the prolific L'viv historian Oleksandr Zaitsev (Ukrainian Catholic University) about the existence of a distinct subtype of historic revolutionary ultranationalism that Zaitsev labels "Ustashism"—with obvious reference to some peculiarities of the UHRO.

According to Zaitsev, "Ustashism" is largely similar to, yet also distinct from, fascism, in that "ustashist" movements are phenomena peculiar to nations that have not yet achieved their own nation-states. Historic Ukrainian "integral nationalism" emerged and operated prior to Ukrainian independence and with the primary aim of achieving it. Thus, the OUN(b) should—in spite of its many similarities with, for instance, Italian Fascism and the Romanian Iron Guard—be seen as an "ustashist" organization that is somewhat distinct from classic fascism while being similar to the Croatian Ustaša and Slovak Hlinka Guard.⁴⁶

The word *ustaša* means "rebel" or, more precisely, "insurgent" thus creating a terminological similarity to Ukrainian war-time nationalism whose main military arm was, as mentioned, the Ukrainian Insurgent Army (UPA). Generally, however, "Ustaša" is used in its original Croatian form for depicting this specific organization. Communication with Tomislav Dulić, 11 February 2020.

Oleksandr Zaitsev, "Fascism or Ustashism? Ukrainian Integral Nationalism of the 1920s-1930s in Comparative Perspective," Communist and Post-Communist Studies 48, nos. 2-3 (2015): 183-93. See also: idem, Ukrayins'kyy integral'nyy natsionalizm (1920-ti — 1930-ti roky): Narysy intelektual'noyi istoriyi (Kyiv: Krytyka, 2013); idem, "Ukrainian Integral Nationalism in Quest of a 'Special

In response to Zaitsev, Dulić and Miljan provide a theoretically informed anlysis of the UHRO's evolution, against the background of other anti-systemic organizations. Using Mathiesen's theory of "the unfinished" for developing a new approach to the comparative analysis of fascism, Dulić and Miljan argue that the Ustaša was not that different from other fascist organizations. As a result, they do not see sufficient grounds to introduce a distinctive novel category like "Ustashism" that could be applied for a better interpretation of the OUN. (In a fourth special section on the OUN forthcoming in *JSPPS*, we hope to publish Zaitsev's rebuttal to Dulić's and Miljan's rejection of his conceptual innovation.)

Conclusions

Like the two previous *JSPPS* special sections, the three articles below add new facets to the recent wave of innovative scholarly publications, on the history and commemoration of the OUN.⁴⁷ Struve's and Radchenko's papers take the empirical study of the wartime development of the OUN's two factions a step ahead. Dulic's and Miljan's paper supports the ongoing integration of descriptive explorations and historic classifications of the OUN into the comparative analysis of war-time European ultra-nationalism, and cross-cultural right-wing extremism studies.

All three papers thus make consequential contributions to the increasingly sophisticated scholarly discussion of the OUN's ideas and activities before and during World War II, as well as their contemporary interpretation. At the same time, they constitute important expert interventions into the ongoing Ukrainian public debate about the role of the OUN in and for Ukraine's national history. Last but not least, they are important novel contributions to

Path' (1920s–1930s)," Russian Politics & Law 51, no. 5 (2013): 11–32; and idem, Natsionalist u dobi fashyzmu: L'vivs'kyy period Dmytra Dontsova, 1922–1939 roky. Nacherk intelektual'noi biohrafii (Kyiv: Krytyka, 2019).

⁴⁷ For a brief survey of some recent literature, see the review article: Jared McBride, "Who Is Afraid of Ukrainian Nationalism?" *Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History* 17, no. 3 (2016): 647–63.

the recently consolidating field of comparative fascist studies.⁴⁸ They should thus find a readership beyond the narrow confines of Ukrainian historical research and East European area studies.

48 Relevant monographs and collected volumes published during the last half of a century include, in chronological order: Ernst Nolte, Three Faces of Fascism: Action Française, Italian Fascism, National Socialism (New York, NY: New American Library, 1969); A. James Gregor, The Ideology of Fascism: The Rationale for Totalitarianism (New York, NY: Free Press, 1969); idem, The Fascist Persuasion in Radical Politics (Princeton, NI: Princeton University Press. 1974): Wolfgang Wippermann, Faschismustheorien: Zum Stand der gegenwärtigen Diskussion (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1972); idem and Hans-Ulrich Thamer, Faschistische und neofaschistische Beweaunaen: Probleme empirischer Faschismusforschung Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1977); Walter Laqueur (ed.), Fascism: A Readers Guide (Harmdondsworth: Penguin, 1979); Stanley G. Payne, Fascism: Comparison and Definition (Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 1980); Stein Ugelvik Larsen, Bernt Hagtvet and Jan Petter Myklebust (eds.), Who Were the Fascists? Social Roots of European Fascism (Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, 1981); Wolfgang Wippermann, Europäischer Faschismus im Vergleich (1922-1982) (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1983); Roger Griffin, The Nature of Fascism, 2nd ed. (London: Routledge, 1993); Zeev Sternhell with Mario Sznajder & Maia Asheri, The Birth of Fascist Ideology: From Cultural Rebellion to Political Revolution (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994); Roger Eatwell, Fascism: A History (London: Chatto & Windus, 1995); Roger Griffin (ed.), Fascism (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995); idem (ed.), International Fascism: Theories, Causes and the New Consensus (London: Arnold, 1998); A. James Gregor, Phoenix: Fascism in Our Time (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1999); Stanley G. Payne, Geschichte des Faschismus: Aufstieg und Fall einer europäischen Bewegung (Berlin: Propyläen, 2001); Wolfgang Wippermann and Werner Loh (eds.), "Faschismus"—kontrovers (Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius, 2002); Aristotle A. Kallis (ed.), The Fascism Reader (London: Routledge, 2003); Roger Griffin with Matthew Feldmann (eds.), Critical Concepts in Political Science: Fascism, 5 vols. (London: Routledge, 2004); Angelica Fenner and Eric D. Weitz (eds.), Fascism and Neofascism: Critical Writings on the Radical Right in Europe (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004); Stefan Breuer, Nationalismus und Faschismus: Frankreich, Italien und Deutschland im Vergleich (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 2005); Michael S. Neiberg (ed.), Fascism (Adlershot: Ashgate, 2006); Arnd Bauerkämper, Der Faschismus in Europa 1918-1945 (Stuttgart: Reclam, 2006); A. James Gregor, The Search for Neofascism: The Use and Abuse of Social Science (New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2006); Roger Griffin, Werner Loh, and Andreas Umland (eds.), Fascism Past and Present, West and East: An International Debate on Concepts and Cases in the Comparative Study of the Extreme Right (Stuttgart: ibidem-Verlag, 2006); Matthew Feldman (ed.), A Fascist Century: Essays by Roger Griffin (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan,

2008); Constantin Iordachi (ed.), Comparative Fascist Studies: New Perspectives (London: Routledge, 2010); and Roger Griffin, Fascism: An Introduction to Comparative Fascist Studies (Medford, MA: Polity Press, 2018). 204